# Franchise Tax Board ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL

Author: Perea		Analyst:	Janet Jen	ninas	Bill Numl	ner	AB 1393
Related Bills:	See Legislative History	Telephone:	845-34		ended Date:		ust 15, 2011
rtolated Bille.	riiotory	Attorney:	Patrick Ku		Sponsor:	<u>, rage</u>	200 10, 2011
SUBJECT: FTB To Allow Seasonal Clerks The Option To Retain Leave Balances While On Unpaid Leave Due To A Lack Of Work							
SUMMARY							
This bill would amend the Government Code to allow Franchise Tax Board (FTB) Seasonal Clerks to maintain leave balances while on unpaid leave due to a lack of work.							
RECOMMENDATION AND SUPPORTING ARGUMENTS							
Support.							
On March 10, 2011, the three-member Franchise Tax Board, as part of the settlement of pending litigation, directed staff to seek legislation to resolve the pending lawsuit with language substantially identical to the language in this bill.							
Summary of Amendments							
The August 15, 2011, amendments removed all of the bill's provisions, related to employment in the entertainment industry, and replaced them with the provisions discussed in this analysis. This is the department's first analysis of the bill.							
PURPOSE OF THE BILL							
According to the author's office, the purpose of this bill is to resolve an inconsistency between the Labor Code and the State Employee International Union (SEIU) Local 1000 Bargaining Unit 4 contract that represents the FTB's Seasonal Clerks.							
EFFECTIVE/OPERATIVE DATE							
This bill would become effective January 1, 2012, and would apply to Seasonal Clerks placed on unpaid leave status due to a lack of work on or after that date.							
Board Position:			ND	Executive	Officer		Date
X_S S/ N		<u> </u>	_NP _NAR	Selvi Star	nislaus		9/01/11

Bill Number: AB 1393

# **ANALYSIS**

# STATE LAW

Current state law provides that if an employer discharges an employee, the wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately.<sup>1</sup>

# Program Background

Depending on need and budget constraints, state departments may hire seasonal employees due to seasonal or cyclical increases in workloads. The FTB hires Seasonal Clerks, a type of seasonal employee, during tax filing season primarily to receive, open, and sort mail.

Historically, Seasonal Clerks have not been permanently separated from state employment at the end of each season and are called back the next tax season. This practice provides a relatively stable seasonal workforce and avoids the administration and costs associated with permanent separation and rehiring each tax season.

### THIS BILL

This bill would amend the Government Code to allow FTB Seasonal Clerks the option to do the following when placed on unpaid leave status due to lack of work:

- Receive a lump-sum payment for accumulated vacation or annual leave balances;
- Utilize vacation or annual leave balances to cover some or all of the time off, upon approval by FTB management;
- Retain the vacation or annual leave balances for use upon returning to work; or
- Utilize a combination of any or all of the actions described above.

These options would be available to Seasonal Clerks put on unpaid leave status due to lack of work, but without permanent separation from state service.

# IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

Implementing this bill would not impact the department's programs and operations. It has been a practice of the FTB to allow Seasonal Clerks the option to retain, utilize, or cash out their leave balances when management determines there is a lack of work.

#### FISCAL IMPACT

This bill would not impact the department's costs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> California Labor Code Section 201

Bill Number: AB 1393

Amended Adgust 15, 2011

### **ECONOMIC IMPACT**

This bill would not impact the state's income tax revenue.

# SUPPORT/OPPOSITION

Support: This bill is supported by the three-member Franchise Tax Board to resolve the pending lawsuit with SEIU.

Opposition: None.

# **ARGUMENTS**

Pro: This bill would give FTB Seasonal Clerks the option to retain their leave balances from year to year.

Con: Some may raise an objection to placing in statute a provision that is also contained in a Memorandum of Understanding.

### LEGISLATIVE STAFF CONTACT

Janet Jennings Anne Maitland

Legislative Analyst, FTB Interim Legislative Director, FTB

(916) 845-3495 (916) 845-6333

<u>Janet.Jennings@ftb.ca.gov</u> <u>Anne.Maitland@ftb.ca.gov</u>